

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/850,324	05/07/2001	William F. McDonald	044829-0127	1711
75	90 06/25/2003			
FOLEY & LARDNER SUITE 3800			EXAMINER	
777 EAST WIS	CONSIN AVENUE		WOODWARD, ANA LUCRECIA	
MILWAUKEE,	WI 53202-5306		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1731	16
			DATE MAILED: 06/25/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			5/+
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Group Art Unit	
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ars on the cover shee	at beneath the correspondence ac	idress—
Period for Reply		•	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE	MONTH(S) FROM THE MA	ILING DATE
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defe</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by s</li> <li>Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the return adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	a reply within the statutory ault, expire SIX (6) MONTH statute, cause the applicat mailing date of this commo	minimum of thirty (30) days will be consists from the mailing date of this communication to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § unication, even if timely, may reduce any e	dered timely. eation. ; 133).
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	101 , 5/2	13/03	
☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .			·
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance exce accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 19	ept for formal matters, 935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G.	prosecution as to the merits is c 213.	losed in
isposition of Claims			
isposition of Claims 1-52 Claim(s)	is/are pending in the app	lication.	
Of the above claim(s)		is/are withdrawn from co	nsideration.
□ Claim(s)		is/are allowed.	
□ Claim(s)		is/are rejected.	
Ctaim(s) 1-52		is/are objected to.	
☑ Claim(s) 1 5 2		are subject to restriction	or election
pplication Papers	:- <i>(</i> 7	requirement	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are obj		* *	
·	ected to by the Examin	ner	
<ul><li>☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li><li>☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.</li></ul>			
riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)  ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the:	y under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9 (a)–(d).	
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have beer	n received.		
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been	received in Application	on No	
☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been receive	d	
in this national stage application from the Internation	nal Bureau (PCT Rule 1	17.2(a))	
*Certified copies not received:			·
ttachment(s)		Caletanian Communication DTO 440	
ttachment(s)  Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper I	No(s)	☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	
ttachment(s)  Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper I  Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892		☐ Interview Summary, P10-413 ☐ Notice of Inf_rmal Pat_nt Applica	ition, PTO-15

Application/Control Number: 09/850,324

Art Unit: 1711

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## **RESTRICTION**

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-19, 45, 47 and 49-51, drawn to a composition, classified in class 524, subclass various.
  - II. Claims 20-25, drawn to a composition, classified in class 525, subclass various.
  - III. Claims 26-43, drawn to a process of coating a substrate, classified in class 427, subclass various.
  - IV. Claim 44, drawn to a composition, classified in class 524, subclass various.
  - V. Claim 46, drawn to a composition, classified in class 524, subclass various.
  - VI. Claim 48, drawn to a composition, classified in class 524, subclass various.
  - VII. Claim 52, drawn to a coated article, classified in class 428, subclass various.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as a molding or coating composition in and of itself without the presence of additional ingredients which would react in-situ to form a mutually exclusive final product and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are

Application/Control Number: 09/850,324

Art Unit: 1711

not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 3. Inventions I and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process such as in the production of molded articles or self-supported films.
- 2. Inventions IV, V and VI each with I are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, each of the intermediate products is deemed to be useful as a molding composition in and of itself without the presence of additional ingredient, i.e., a crosslinking agent, which would result in a mutually exclusive final product species and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions

Art Unit: 1711

anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

3. Inventions I and VII are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as a molding composition useful in the production of molded articles or self-supported films and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

## **ELECTION OF SPECIES**

4. Claims 1-19 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising the various materials defining materials (i), (ii) and (iii). The election of an ultimate species of material defining each of components (i), (ii) and (iii), e.g., from claims 2, 9, 10, etc., is requested. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Art Unit: 1711

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Claims 20-25 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising the various materials defining materials (i), (ii) and (iii) for each of components (a) and (b). The election of an ultimate species of material defining each of components (i), (ii) and (iii) for each of components (a) and (b), e.g., from claims 21, 23,, 25, etc., is requested. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

6. Claims 26-42 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising the various materials making up the polymer solution and also the substrate. The election of an ultimate species of polymer solution and substrate. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to

be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

7. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ana L. Woodward whose telephone number is (703) 308-2401. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:30-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James J. Seidleck can be reached on (703) 308-2462. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (793) 305-8/183.

Examiner Art Unit 1711

AW June 19, 2003